



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/433,257	11/04/1999	YEVGENIY EUGENE SHTEYN	PHA-23.782	2314
24737 7590 06/02/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				
EXAMINER				
LIN, WEN TAI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2154				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/02/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/433,257	Applicant(s) SHTEYN, YEVGENIY EUGENE	
	Examiner Wen-Tai Lin	Art Unit 2154	

All Participants:

(1) Wen-Tai Lin.

(2) Ed. Goodman.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2008

Status of Application: Appeal Brief filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:40AM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 2-6 and 12-22

Prior art documents discussed:
 McLain and White

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner pointed out that the current Appeal Brief does not address the rejection of claims 2-6 and 12-22 under McLain in view of White. Applicant's representative, Mr. Goodman, indicated that he would file an RCE to continue the prosecution. This interview summary serves to stop the "clock" while the Appeal Brief is still pending.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Wen-Tai Lin/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2154

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

